

FIG. 4. The diffusion coefficient as a function of the ratio of the melting to the annealing temperature for all of the data.

However, the correction  $(\gamma - \frac{2}{3})RTK_T$  to the activation volume, in Eq. (4), ranged from about 3 to 5% for temperatures between 556 and 769°K for all pressures between 0 and 40 kbar. The correction terms in Eqs. (4) and (5) were approximated using  $\gamma = 3.0$ , the coefficient of thermal expansion as tabulated in standard tables<sup>20</sup> for atmospheric pressure and 100°C, and Bridgman's<sup>21</sup> isothermal-compressibility data extrapolated to the temperatures of interest.

The logarithm of the diffusion coefficient is plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of the ratio of the melting temperature at the annealing pressure to the annealing temperature. The values for the melting temperature of lead were obtained from work performed in this laboratory by Dudley and Millet,<sup>22</sup> and subsequently pressure corrected for thermal expansion using the correction obtained by Decker and Vanfleet<sup>19</sup> on their work with gold.

## IV. DISCUSSION

The activation energies  $\Delta H$  shown in Table I increase monotonically with pressure from 15.2 kcal/mole at atmospheric pressure to 21.9 kcal/mole at 39.2 kbar. This is in accord with the interpretation of  $\Delta H$  being an energy of activation, it being expected that the energy required to form a vacancy and/or move a defect from one equilibrium position to an adjacent one should increase with increasing pressure.

The activation volume  $\Delta V$  as can be seen from the slopes of the curves of Fig. 3 is independent of the pressure for each temperature above 11.9 kbar. Below 11.9 kbar, however, it is evident that  $\Delta V$  increases with decreasing pressure. This effect can be explained if one assumes that at some pressure both the vacancy and interstitial mechanism contribute significantly to the diffusion. The ratio of the diffusion constant  $D_{v}$  for vacancy diffusion to that for interstitial diffusion  $D_i$  is proportional to  $\exp[-(\Delta G_v - \Delta G_i)/RT]$ , where  $\Delta G_v$  and  $\Delta G_i$  are the free energies for diffusion by the vacancy and interstitial mechanism, respectively. If the activation volume for the vacancy mechanism  $\Delta V_v$  is large in comparison to  $\Delta V_i$  for an interstitial, it is evident that  $D_v/D_i$  will decrease rapidly with increasing pressure. A calculation has been made assuming that the linear portions of the lnD versus pressure curves of Fig. 3 are characteristic of interstitial diffusion. These curves were extrapolated to atmospheric pressure for each isotherm. The resulting values of D were taken to represent the interstitial contribution to the diffusion at atmospheric pressure for each temperature. By subtracting the interstitial contribution from Seith and Keil's<sup>15</sup> data the vacancy contribution at atmospheric pressure is obtained. Analysis of these data gave atmospheric pressure activation energies of 15.4 and 15.7 kcal/mole for the interstitial and vacancy contributions to the diffusion. Good agreement with the isotherms of Fig. 3 was made by using Hudson and Hoffman's<sup>5</sup> low-pressure activation volumes for  $\Delta V_{v}$ and the high-presssure activation volume from this experiment for  $\Delta V_i$  in conjunction with the atmosphericpressure values of  $D_i$  and  $D_v$  as discussed above. Hence, if the diffusion mechanism changes with pressure, as it is seen that it may do, one would expect the observed decrease in the measured activation volume. However, since the proposed mechanism involves a diffusion constant with two terms with different temperature dependency some curvature in the  $\ln D$  versus 1/Tcurves for pressures below about 10 kbar should be apparent. Data in this pressure range would do much to confirm or refute the proposed mechanism.

Hudson and Hoffman<sup>5</sup> observed a decrease in the activation volume for self diffusion in lead at about 30 kbar which is probably similar to the effect at atmospheric pressure observed in this experiment.

It has been proposed by Braune<sup>23</sup> and Van Liempt<sup>24</sup> using Lindemann's theory of melting that

$$\Delta H(P)/T_m(P) = \Delta H(0)/T_m(0), \qquad (6)$$

where  $\Delta H(P)$  and  $T_m(P)$  are the activation energy and melting temperature of the solvent at the pressure P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Landolt-Börnstein Tables, edited by H. Borchers and E. Schmidt (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964), Vol. IV, Part 2a, p. 934. <sup>21</sup> P. W. Bridgman, *The Physics of High Pressure* (G. Bell and Sons, Ltd., London, 1949), p. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> J. D. Dudley and L. E. Millet (private communication).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> H. Braune, Z. Physik Chem. 110, 147 (1924).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> J. A. M. Van Liempt, Rec. Trav. Chim. 51, 114 (1932).

This relationship has been investigated by Nachtrieb et al.<sup>4</sup> and Hudson and Hoffman<sup>5</sup> for self diffusion in lead and found to hold only for pressures below about 12 kbar. It is concluded inasmuch as the experimental data for  $\ln D$  versus  $T_m/T$  as shown in Fig. 4 have about the same scatter as the isobar data for  $\ln D$  versus 1/Tfrom Fig. 2 that within the experimental error a relation of the form of Eq. (6) is valid to 40 kbar for the diffusion of Ag into Pb. A least-squares analysis of the data of Fig. 4 yields a value of 15.3 kcal/mole for  $\Delta H(0)$  from Eq. (6) in good agreement with Seith and Keil's<sup>15</sup> value of 15.2 kcal/mole. It is noted, however, that although the high-pressure data have about the same slope it predominately falls below the Seith and Keil<sup>15</sup> data. This is not surprising in terms of the proposed mechanism since the vacancy contribution to the diffusion disappears as the pressure increases.

The error limits as indicated in Table I for the activation energy have been calculated from the rms deviation between the experimental points and the curves of Fig. 2. The error limits for  $D_0$  and the activation volumes  $\Delta V$  as shown in Tables I and II have been estimated by relating them through Eqs. (3) and (4) to the errors in  $\Delta H$ .

Halam out formal a measure a threater in the privation to infinite for art difficiently for a doub out the extended is provided with a contract, analytical provide district in the contract. It has been provided is the contract, then be been provided is the contract.

have experienced and the net of the full of the state of

tren at a side way but the side of the side of the